

EXHIBIT A



06/21/2022

CT Log Number 541786831

Service of Process Transmittal Summary

TO: Jason Engel, Senior Vice President & General Counsel
 Experian
 475 Anton Blvd Bldg D
 Costa Mesa, CA 92626-7037

RE: Process Served in Minnesota

FOR: Experian Information Solutions, Inc. (Domestic State: OH)

ENCLOSED ARE COPIES OF LEGAL PROCESS RECEIVED BY THE STATUTORY AGENT OF THE ABOVE COMPANY AS FOLLOWS:

TITLE OF ACTION: Stephanie Lindsey vs. Experian Information Solutions, Inc.

DOCUMENT(S) SERVED: Summons, Complaint

COURT/AGENCY: Hennepin County - Fourth Judicial District Court, MN
 Case # None Specified

NATURE OF ACTION: Experian has repeatedly reported incorrect last payment dates and legally obsolete accounts despite Plaintiff's attempts

PROCESS SERVED ON: CT Corporation System, Inc, Saint Paul, MN

DATE/METHOD OF SERVICE: By Process Server on 06/21/2022 at 12:19

JURISDICTION SERVED: Minnesota

APPEARANCE OR ANSWER DUE: Within 20 days after service

ATTORNEY(S)/SENDER(S): Catrina Smith
 MADGETT LAW
 333 South 7th Street, Suite 2450
 Minneapolis, MN 55402
 612-470-5582

ACTION ITEMS: CT has retained the current log, Retain Date: 06/22/2022, Expected Purge Date: 06/27/2022

Image SOP

Email Notification, Jason Engel marina.velardi@experian.com

Email Notification, Gail Fitzpatrick gail.fitzpatrick@experian.com

Email Notification, April Williams april.williams@experian.com

Email Notification, Francis Santos francis.santos@experian.com

Email Notification, Francesca Di Iorio francesca.diiorio@experian.com

REGISTERED AGENT CONTACT: CT Corporation System, Inc
 1010 Dale Street N
 Saint Paul, MN 55117
 866-665-5799
 SouthTeam2@wolterskluwer.com



CT Corporation
Service of Process Notification

06/21/2022

CT Log Number 541786831

The information contained in this Transmittal is provided by CT for quick reference only. It does not constitute a legal opinion, and should not otherwise be relied on, as to the nature of action, the amount of damages, the answer date, or any other information contained in the included documents. The recipient(s) of this form is responsible for reviewing and interpreting the included documents and taking appropriate action, including consulting with its legal and other advisors as necessary. CT disclaims all liability for the information contained in this form, including for any omissions or inaccuracies that may be contained therein.

STATE OF MINNESOTA
County of Hennepin

Judicial District:
Case Type:

DISTRICT COURT
Fourth
Civil Other/ Misc.

Stephanie Lindsey,

Plaintiff,

v.

Experian Information Solutions, Inc.,

Defendants.

SUMMONS

1. YOU ARE BEING SUED. The Plaintiff has started a lawsuit against you. The Plaintiff's Complaint against you is attached to this Summons. Do not throw these papers away. They are official papers that affect your rights. You must respond to this lawsuit even though it may not yet be filed with the Court and there may be no court file number on this Summons.

2. YOU MUST REPLY WITHIN 20 DAYS TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS. You must give or mail to the person who signed this Summons a **written response** called an Answer within 20 days of the date on which you received this Summons. You must send a copy of your Answer to the person who signed this summons located at:

333 South 7th Street, Suite 2450
Minneapolis, MN 55402

3. YOU MUST RESPOND TO EACH CLAIM. The Answer is your written response to the Plaintiff's Complaint. In your Answer you must state whether you agree or disagree with each paragraph of the Complaint. If you believe the Plaintiff should not be given everything asked for in the Complaint, you must say so in your Answer.

4. YOU WILL LOSE YOUR CASE IF YOU DO NOT SEND A WRITTEN

RESPONSE TO THE COMPLAINT TO THE PERSON WHO SIGNED THIS SUMMONS. If you do not Answer within 20 days, you will lose this case. You will not get to tell your side of the story, and the Court may decide against you and award the Plaintiff everything asked for in the Complaint. If you do not want to contest the claims stated in the Complaint, you do not need to respond. A default judgment can then be entered against you for the relief requested in the Complaint.

5. **LEGAL ASSISTANCE.** You may wish to get legal help from a lawyer. If you do not have a lawyer, the Court Administrator may have information about places where you can get legal assistance. **Even if you cannot get legal help, you must still provide a written Answer to protect your rights or you may lose the case.**

6. **ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION.** The parties may agree to or be ordered to participate in an alternative dispute resolution process under Rule 114 of the Minnesota General Rules of Practice. You must still send your written response to the Complaint even if you expect to use alternative means of resolving this dispute.

Dated: June 20, 2022

MADGETT LAW

/s/Catrina Smith

Catrina Smith (#0403229)

333 S 7th Street, Suite 2450

Minneapolis, MN 55402

(612) 470-5582

csmith@madgettlaw.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

STATE OF MINNESOTA
County of Hennepin

Judicial District:
Case Type:

DISTRICT COURT
Fourth
Civil Other/ Misc.

Stephanie Lindsey,

Plaintiff,

v.

Experian Information Solutions, Inc.,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, by and through her attorney, for her complaint against Defendants upon personal knowledge as to her own facts and conduct and on information and belief as to all other matters, states and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

The following case involves a major credit reporting agency falsely reporting incorrect information concerning Capital One, Wells Fargo Dealer Services, and ChecknGo.

Defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc. ("Experian"), has repeatedly reported incorrect last payment dates and legally obsolete accounts despite Plaintiff's attempts to correct the highly prejudicial false credit information contained in Plaintiff's report. Despite repeated attempts to correct this information, Defendants failed to respond to Plaintiff's dispute, yet failed to delete the information as required by law. The effect of Defendants' actions was damage to Plaintiff's credit score frustrating, if not totally preventing, her ability to utilize the credit system. Based on the false information reported by Defendants, Plaintiff

was forced to pay a higher interest rate for her home. Without other recourse available and after numerous attempts to amicably resolve this matter, Plaintiff commenced the action.

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has Jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et. seq., The Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), which permits consumers to file suit in the courts of their respective states.

2. Venue is proper pursuant to Minn. Stat. §542.09 because the cause of action arose within the State of Minnesota and the County of Hennepin.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times relevant Defendant conducted business in the State of Minnesota and in the County of Hennepin.

4. Experian Information Solutions Inc. ("Experian") is a nationally recognized consumer reporting agency (CRA) registered in the state of Ohio. Experian has a service of process address listed as 1010 Dale St N. St Paul, Minnesota.

FACTS

5. Plaintiff is an individual, and, therefore, at all times mentioned herein was a "consumer" as defined by the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).

6. Defendants (collectively "CRAs") prepared and issued consumer reports concerning Plaintiff that included false and inaccurate information.

7. Plaintiff promptly disputed the alleged debt with the CRAs.

8. Specifically, Plaintiff sent all Defendants such notices via certified mail on or about October 27, 2021.

9. Plaintiff is in possession of copies of those letters verified as accurate and unchanged by a third party, and Plaintiff is in possession of certified mail receipts proving the letters were mailed and delivered.

10. Defendant failed to respond to Plaintiff's dispute yet failed to delete the information as required by law.

11. Various individuals seeking to lend to Plaintiff consulted the consumer reports furnished by Defendant containing the false and misleading information at issue.

12. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff suffered from anger, frustration, anxiety, and humiliation and has been unfairly excluded from the benefits of the credit system.

13. Plaintiff was further harmed by incurring legal and professional fees in consulting with various experts regarding the course of action for correcting the errors and in bringing this suit.

APPLICABLE STATUTORY LAW

14. CRAs that sell consumer reports must comply with the FCRA. FCRA Section 607(b), 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b), requires a CRA to establish and follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of consumer report information, including assuring that only information pertaining to the consumer at issue is contained in his or her report and that procedures exist to assure outdated information is not contained on consumer reports.

15. Section 611 of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i, requires a CRA, upon notice of disputed information, to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation to determine the accuracy of information disputed by a consumer who is the subject of a report.

16. The same section requires that notice of the reinvestigation to be promptly provided to the furnisher of the credit information.

17. CRAs must also assure that outdated and obsolete information is removed from reports. Section 605 of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681c addresses the maximum time that various accounts may be listed on a consumer credit report.

FIRST COUNT

Willful Noncompliance with the FCRA

18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

19. The CRAs all willfully failed to comply with the requirements of FCRA, including but not limited to:

- a. failing to comply with the requirements of Section 605, 15 USC § 1681c(4), in publishing a report with obsolete information.
- b. failing to comply with the requirements of Section 611, 15 USC § 1681i, in failing to conduct a reinvestigation of the disputed.
- c. failing to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information in reports concerning Plaintiff, as required by 15 USC § 1681e(b);
- d. failing to comply with the requirements of 15 USC § 1681g;
- e. failing to comply with the requirements of 15 USC § 1681i; and
- f. failing to comply with the requirements of 15 USC § 1681c-2.

As a result of Defendants' failure to comply with the requirements of the FCRA, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, actual damages, including economic loss, lost opportunity to receive credit, damage to reputation, interference with his normal and usual activities, emotional distress, anger, frustration, humiliation, anxiety, fear, worry, and related health problems, for which Plaintiff seeks damages in an amount to be determined by the jury.

SECOND COUNT

Negligent Noncompliance with the FCRA

20. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

21. The CRAs all negligently failed to comply with the requirements of FCRA, including but not limited to:

- d. failing to comply with the requirements of Section 605, 15 USC § 1681c(4), in publishing a report with obsolete information.
- e. failing to comply with the requirements of Section 611, 15 USC § 1681i, in failing to conduct a reinvestigation of the disputed.
- f. failing to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information in reports concerning Plaintiff, as required by 15 USC § 1681e(b);
- d. failing to comply with the requirements of 15 USC § 1681g;
- e. failing to comply with the requirements of 15 USC § 1681i; and
- f. failing to comply with the requirements of 15 USC § 1681c-2.

22. As a result of Defendants' failure to comply with the requirements of the FCRA, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, actual damages, including economic loss, lost opportunity to receive credit, damage to reputation, interference with his normal and usual activities, emotional distress, anger, frustration, humiliation, anxiety, fear, worry, and related health problems, for which Plaintiff seeks damages in an amount to be determined by the jury.

Jury Demand

23. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, by and through her attorney, respectfully prays for Judgment to be entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant as follows:

- a. All actual compensatory damages suffered;
- b. statutory damages in an amount up to \$1,000.00 per violation per plaintiff, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n;
- c. Injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future;
- d. Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and cost of suit; and
- e. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

Dated: June 20, 2022

MADGETT LAW

/s/Catrina Smith

Catrina Smith (#0403229)
333 S 7th Street, Suite 2450
Minneapolis, MN 55402
(612) 470-5582
csmith@madgettlaw.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF